| UWY-X06-CV18-6046436-S | : SUPER | IOR COURT |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------------|
| ERICA LAFFERTY, ET ALS., | : COMPL | EX LITIGATION |
| V. | : AT WA | TERBURY, CONNECTICUT |
| ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS. | : MARCH | 23, 2022 |
| UWY-X06-CV18-6046437-S | : SUPER | IOR COURT |
| WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL., | : COMPL | EX LITIGATION |
| V. | : AT WA | TERBURY, CONNECTICUT |
| ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS. | : MARCH | 23, 2022 |
| UWY-X06-CV18-6046438-S | : SUPER | IOR COURT |
| WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL., | : COMPL | EX LITIGATION |
| V. | : AT WA | TERBURY, CONNECTICUT |
| ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS. | : MARCH | 23, 2022 |

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BARBARA N. BELLIS, JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

Representing the Plaintiffs:
ATTORNEY CHRISTOPHER MATTEI
ATTORNEY MATTHEW BLUMENTHAL
ATTORNEY ALINOR STERLING
Koskoff Koskoff & Bieder
350 Fairfield Avenue
Bridgeport, CT 06604

Representing the Defendants, Alex Emric Jones; Infowars, LLC; Free Speech Systems, LLC; Infowars Health, LLC; Prison Planet TV, LLC:
ATTORNEY NORMAN PATTIS

ATTORNEY NORMAN PATTIS
ATTORNEY CAMERON ATKINSON
Pattis & Smith, LLC
383 Orange Street, #1
New Haven, CT 06511

Representing the Defendants, Genesis Communications Network, Inc.:

ATTORNEY MARIO CERAME Brignole, Bush & Lewis 73 Wadsworth Street Hartford, CT 06106

Recorded By:
Jocelyne Greguoli
Transcribed By:
Jocelyne Greguoli
Court Recording Monitor
400 Grand Street
Waterbury, Connecticut 06702

THE COURT: All right. Good morning, everyone. 1 This is Judge Bellis and we are on the record and I 2 understand we're also livestreaming on the Lafferty 3 versus Jones matter. Lead docket number 18-6046436. And starting with plaintiffs' counsel, please 5 identify yourselves for the record. 6 ATTY. MATTEI: Good morning, Your Honor. This 7 is Chris Mattei on behalf of the plaintiffs. I'm 8 joined by my colleagues, Alinor Sterling and Matthew 9 Blumenthal. 10 THE COURT: For the defend -- Jones defendants? 11 ATTY. PATTIS: Norm Pattis and I see that 12 Attorney Atkinson is on as well, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Okay. If you could just activate 14 15 your camera, Attorney Atkinson, that would be helpful. Thank you. And --16 ATTY. ATKINSON: Your Honor, if I may? I have 17 it activated on Teams, but for some reason I'm 18 19 getting a message that the video is not working. 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, if you can't get it to work, I suppose we're okay. I assume 21 22 Attorney Pattis is going to take the lead in any 23 event. 24 And then, Attorney Cerame, last but not least. 25 ATTY. CERAME: Good morning, Your Honor. Mario 26 Cerame from Brignole, Bush and Lewis for Genesis

Communication Network, Incorporated.

with the Court denying the Jones defendant's motion for protective order. I'm sure I don't have to refresh anybody's recollection, but the argument of the Jones defendant's defense counsel was that he should not be required to attend the deposition as he was remaining at home under doctor's supervision and evidence was submitted to the Court by way of note from the doctor that he was, in fact, remaining at home.

The issue arose as to whether he was broadcasting live from his studio at the time those representations were made and the arguments were made and I do see that Attorney Smith timely filed the notice with the Court that I had ordered be filed by 11 a.m. indicating that, in fact, Mr. Jones has been recording live from his studio and that despite the representations to the Court, he was not remaining at home.

So I understand I know nothing except Mr.

Ferraro indicated that Mr. Jones had not appeared for his deposition and an emergency status conference was requested. I'm not sure what we can accomplish today, but I suppose I'll hear from both sides and see what can be done.

ATTY. PATTIS: Judge, as a practical matter, this is Norm Pattis. I -- I would object to

televising or otherwise livestreaming this to the public at large insofar as Mr. Jones' medical condition and information about it may be recited and he -- he stands by -- by his HIPAA claims.

And I -- I just see at the bottom of my thing

And I -- I just see at the bottom of my thing

two -- two parties I don't recognize, Waterbury V and

Greguoli or Greguoli, J. And we also had CNN on here

at one point.

THE COURT: All right. I did not receive any request that -- for -- that this be televised or recorded. It is going to be livestreamed because it's public and short of a motion to close the courtroom, all matters are livestreamed, so I can't do anything about that so that it is just like if we were physically in the courtroom and I have not received any motion to close the courtroom or seal.

Mr. Ferraro, is there someone on here that you don't recognize? I know that --

THE COURT OFFICER: No, Your Honor. Ms. -- Ms. Greguoli is the court reporter and the WV Waterbury is the actual virtual courtroom and the recording system for that.

ATTY. PATTIS: Judge, I -- I was -- We were at the deposition this morning. This is Norm Pattis again. And I must of misunderstood. I thought that there was going -- there was not going to be a status conference today. I arrived at another location in

Austin about 20 minutes ago and saw that there was, so I've tested the equipment. I'm here. I've not had an opportunity to file a motion to seal. I don't know if you will accept an oral motion to seal on the basis of -- on the basis of potential divulging of med -- confidential medical information.

THE COURT: Right. Well, I can't take it orally, so I don't know if there's a way that you can present whatever you have to present.

ATTY. PATTIS: I --

THE COURT: But I did review what I thought to be a willfully inadequate letter yesterday that seemed pretty current, but why don't we see how we could proceed here and you can do whatever you need to do, Attorney Pattis. If it's something that you need to --

ATTY. PATTIS: Well, my --

THE COURT: -- try to close the courtroom, then we can follow the Practice Book rules.

ATTY. PATTIS: We might ask for permission to speak to you ex parte, but that -- let's just see where we go.

THE COURT: There — There is not a chance in this case, not a single chance in this case. Every word in this case, every single word in this case, has been on the record from day one and there is not a chance I will have any discussions off the record

with a single lawyer in this case, whether you're all together or separate. I will not do it and that --

ATTY. PATTIS: Off the record. It can be recorded, but we don't want it -- You know, Mr. Jones is standing on his HIPAA claims.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. But I'm not -- You would have to file a motion to close the courtroom and it would have to be done according to the Practice Book.

ATTY. PATTIS: Understood, Judge.

THE COURT: So I leave that to you. You know,
we'll -- we'll see how we can proceed. But I suppose
-- I'd like to hear from Attorney Matter first since
I think he was the one that asked for this, but I
suppose if you have something that you want to submit
to the Court ex parte like was -- that was done
yesterday, I -- I don't see why you -- you can't do
that. Do you have something that you're looking,
Attorney Pattis, to -- a document, medical record
that you're looking to submit ex parte?

I think we just lost Attorney Pattis. All right. So let's -- We have Attorney Atkinson, but let's wait for Attorney Pattis to rejoin us.

ATTY. ATKINSON: Your Honor, I -- just for the record, I am contacting him to see what happened there.

THE COURT: Thank you. We'll standby. No

problem. 1 2 ATTY. ATKINSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 (The Court waited for Attorney Pattis to rejoin 4 the Teams meeting.) 5 THE COURT: Attorney Pattis, I see that you have 6 your microphone muted. I don't see your video, but 7 it looks like you've rejoined, but your microphone is 8 muted. 9 ATTY. PATTIS: Hello. This is Norm Pattis. 10 Hello? 11 THE COURT: I can hear you, Attorney Pattis. I 12 don't see your video. ATTY. PATTIS: No. A call came in and it 13 blocked -- and this thing went off and I can't -- I 14 15 tried to log back in twice video-wise and I knew you 16 were all waiting, so I tried to call -- I'm calling 17 instead. I'll be happy to try again by video, but I 18 didn't want you to be waiting. THE COURT: Why don't -- We don't mind waiting. 19 20 Why don't -- Don't rush. Why don't you see if --21 We'll standby and why don't you see if you can == 22 ATTY. PATTIS: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: -- end the call and video back in. 2.3 24 Thank you. 25 ATTY. PATTIS: Yes, ma'am. 26 (The Court waited for Attorney Pattis to rejoin 27 the Teams meeting.)

ATTY. PATTIS: I believe I'm back. I don't know 1 if anyone can hear me or see me. 2 THE COURT: All right. Attorney Pattis, I can 3 hear you, but I can't see you. 4 ATTY. PATTIS: My -- I can see an image of 5 myself, which does me no good, but -- and the -- and 6 I don't have the bar through the video, so I'm going 7 to depress it and not again -- I -- I --8 THE COURT OFFICER: Excuse me, Mr. Pattis. I'm 9 10 sorry to interrupt you. 11 But Your Honor, we have another new person, William something. I did not see them before. 12 unaware of who that person is. They just joined on. 13 That's -- It looks like it's -- It says Williamson, 14 15 comma, NYT. THE COURT: All right. So here's what I will 16 say: We are live -- livestreaming this; is this 17 correct, Mr. Ferraro? 18 19 THE COURT OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: And how does one access the livestream? Members of the public, members of the 21 22 press. . 23 .THE COURT OFFICER: If you go to the judicial 24 website, as you normally would, there's a -- a button 25 for livestreaming events and then it pulls up all the courthouses. You select the Waterbury Courthouse. 26 Unfortunately, because this was put together so 27

quickly, it is not on the schedule, but it is in

Virtual Courtroom 1. There was a case in Virtual

Courtroom 1 earlier, so if you go and hit the YouTube

link, even though it says that earlier case, it will

take you right to our case. I have let external

affairs know that so that if anyone inquired of them,

they would be able to give them those directions.

THE COURT: All right. That sounds a little

THE COURT: All right. That sounds a little tricky to me. Is it something that someone can easily navigate?

THE COURT OFFICER: Yes, especially if they've done this before. They'll do it exactly the same way except the case won't be listed, but it is Virtual Courtroom 1. Normally, it would say ——

THE COURT: All right.

THE COURT OFFICER: -- these three cases.

THE COURT: So if there is a member of the press on, here's what I will say: You are under Court order not to film or record this because no permission has been granted by the Court. So I'm not going to remove anybody at this point. You certainly can listen, but you're not to film or videotape under order of the Court.

All right. So Mr. Pattis, we have you back.

That's good. I'm trying to remember now where we

left off. I think you might have been speaking about

the issue on the closing the courtroom which I cannot

1 do orally. ATTY. PATTIS: If we -- I understand. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. So why don't we -- Go ahead. ATTY. PATTIS: I believe you were turning to Mr. 4 5 Mattei when --6 THE COURT: Yeah. ATTY. PATTIS: -- when I --7 THE COURT: All right. Attorney Mattei, 8 9 whenever you're ready. ATTY. MATTEI: Good morning, Your Honor. I am 10 here in the conference room in Austin where Mr. 11 Jones' deposition was scheduled to commence this 12 morning at 9 a.m. central time. Earlier in the week, 13 as you know, the defendants filed a motion for 14 protective order seeking to have Mr. Jones excused 15 from his appearance at deposition. We opposed it. 16 There was a hearing on the matter yesterday. It 17 was revealed during the hearing and confirmed this 18 morning that contrary to a letter that a doctor 19 20 apparently provided that Mr. Jones was not, in fact, at home under the care of his doctor, although that's 21 22 what had been represented. Instead, he was 23 broadcasting at his regular time at his studio in Austin. The Court denied the motion for protective 24 25 order. 26

27

We appeared for his deposition this morning.

Mr. Jones did not appear. We waited for an hour.

2,3

put on the record all of the circumstances leading up to the deposition and I was made aware that, although Mr. Jones did not attend his deposition this morning, Mr. Pattis was accompanied by someone who was described to me as Doctor Margal (phonetic). That individual was present in the hotel, though not in the conference room.

He -- I'll -- I'll let Attorney Pattis state if he wishes what his role was here, what his purpose was here. We asked for a hearing this afternoon and we had filed a motion shortly before this hearing seeking an emergency order from the Court directing Mr. Jones to appear for his deposition tomorrow.

The deposition was originally scheduled both for today and tomorrow and no evidence has been -- was presented on the record this morning, although there were some representations of counsel indicating why Mr. Jones was not here this morning and we think, at this point given the circumstances leading up to this deposition, that Mr. Jones' continued refusal to appear for a deposition is nothing more than willful defiance of the Court's order in an effort to cheat the plaintiffs out of their right to question him concerning his misconduct over many years and his infliction of harm on them over many years.

I understand that yesterday during his live broadcast he was raising money for his legal defense,

encouraging his audience to contribute to his legal defense and yet he failed to appear. I also understand that just within the last several minutes, Mr. Jones called into his regular broadcast and — and although I don't know where he is presently, he called into his regular broadcast and is — was apparently well enough to comment on the current situation in Eastern Europe.

So we are asking the Court to issue an order directing his appearance tomorrow and should he not appear as directed, to issue a capias for his arrest. In all candor, we think that Mr. Jones was on sufficient notice yesterday as to what the likely consequences of his non-appearance here today would be and so that's what we are requesting. And we are requesting relief from this continued defiance of -- of these proceedings and Mr. Jones' continued effort to make a mockery of this case.

ATTY. PATTIS: I'm not hearing you, Judge.

THE COURT: That's because I muted to be polite. I think, Attorney Pattis, you would most likely agree with me that as a matter of fundamental fairness, if the Jones defendants intend to call any witnesses at their trial which is coming up, Mr. Jones has to produce himself for a deposition. The deadline for the depositions in the most recent modified scheduling order is March 31st, so we're really out

of time at this point and of course, as you know, the motion for protective order was denied.

So I have not read the emergency motion for order. I'm happy to hear your argument now, Attorney Pattis. I'm happy to give you some time today to file an objection to the motion for order once you've had an opportunity to review it, but I am going to address the issue, so tell me what you suggest and how you would like to proceed.

ATTY. PATTIS: I do. So I -- First as to the representations of counsel, I informed Mr. Mattei -- I don't know if we put it on the record -- that I was informed at roughly six -- between 6:10 -- 6:50 and 7:10 that Mr. Jones was in a medical clinic and I have -- I know the identity of the clinic. I know the identity of the doctor. I have reached out to speak to that doctor. This was somebody that was referred to Mr. Jones by Doctor Margal (phonetic) who is here with me right now. I had him come in when I learned of this hearing. And it's my understanding that Mr. Jones is receiving medical care and is following his doctor's orders.

. I've not seen the emergency motion. The potential request for a capias is something I've begun to research and I've not had a chance to complete that research. I only learned of the motion about a half an hour ago, so I would request some

23 .

2.6

additional time.

I don't know that fundamental fairness requires that Mr. Jones not be permitted to call witnesses. I mean, he has been deposed in related Sandy Hook matters three times and there is a public record of that, so I'm not sure --

THE COURT: I -- I couldn't -- You broke up a little bit. Can you get a little closer, if you don't mind, to your device? Thank you very much.

ATTY. PATTIS: Sorry.

THE COURT: You said something about depositions of Mr. Jones.

ATTY. PATTIS: I -- I heard the Court say that

-- that I might agree with you that fundamental

fairness might somehow require that Mr. Jones not be

permitted to call witnesses in exchange for his not

sitting. I -- I don't agree with that. Mr. Jones

has thrice sat for depositions in Sandy Hook related

cases and the defendants (sic) are well-aware of his

statements on this issue. Having said that, I

understand that the Court may take a different view.

As to the potential for a capias, I'm not sure when I cut out. I would like the opportunity to address that issue by way of a written pleading. I remind the Court that, you know, he's in Texas on an out-of-state order to take a deposition. I think that leave of the Texas courts would have to be

sought and it's a far more complicated matter than might ordinarily be the case were this a simple interjurisdictional case.

I have reached out, Judge, to the current physician. My client has instructed me not to disclose that person's identity other than on a -- on a confidential basis consistent with HIPAA and I've had discussions with her. I do believe that he has been ordered not to appear. I believe he disregarded his physician's order yesterday and appeared on the studio.

Suggestions were raised yesterday that my office had been less than candid with you. I strenuously disagree with that. Mr. Smith's affidavit lays out how he came to believe that Mr. Jones was not in the studio. I was unavailable on the airplane. I am in the studios today, Judge. I have walked over to the broadcast side. There is a -- It's a two-building facility. He is not in the building now. I don't believe his intent is to be in the building.

Having said that, you know, the -- this is a difficult procedural posture and I would like the opportunity to at least review the plaintiffs' pleadings and -- and formulate a written response before the Court rules.

THE COURT: I think the transcript from yesterday, Attorney Pattis -- and I understand that

you weren't able to participate -- made pretty clear that I was not faulting Attorney Smith, that he was just making his argument. I think I said that I had no doubt that he would clarify. I think -- I think I repeated that three or four times, but nonetheless, the argument of your client, through his counsel and through evidence that was submitted, was that he was remaining at home; that he did not want to attend the deposition because he needed to remain at home; and in fact, he was not remaining at home. So I would like to believe --

ATTY. PATTIS: May I -- May I --

THE COURT: Let me just finish. Not yet.

I would really like to be -- be able to believe what you say because that's what you've been told, but of course, that's secondhand if not thirdhand and so it's not evidence and short of some credible evidence that contains some reasonable information, which was not the case with that letter yesterday from the Florida physician, there's nothing before me. I -- And I think Attorney --

ATTY. PATTIS: Your --

.THE COURT: Attorney Pattis, we might have just lost you again. Are you there?

ATTY. PATTIS: I can hear you. Can you hear -THE COURT: Yes. I can hear you. You're -- You
just disappeared for a second, so --

ATTY. PATTIS: Okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Ferraro, just make sure that you keep an eye on Attorney Pattis' appearance so that he doesn't disappear without us knowing it.

Okay. There you are. So the issue of I —
There's no reason that I can't address the issue of
an order, not a capias, but an order for him to
appear for the deposition tomorrow, although, I don't
hear, Attorney Pattis, that you're asking me for time
to even submit ex parte evidence that I should
consider like, you know, to supp — to support your
position that he's at a clinic.

And I will say this, Attorney Pattis, as I said yesterday, in my role as a presiding judge in Bridgeport for ten years straight, I dealt on a regular basis, on a regular basis — you would be surprised at how often we would get continuance requests from parties or their lawyers indicating that they couldn't show up for their trial, they couldn't show up for their hearing because they were ill or because they were at a clinic or they were here or there and, you know, they reported to a clinic with complaints that weren't valid. In other words, you maybe will be surprised or maybe you wouldn't be surprised how often this would be done just to avoid a deposition or a trial.

So -- And right now, I don't even have any

evidence to evaluate. I -- I will say that in my opinion, I was deceived yesterday, not intentionally by Attorney Smith and I made that clear yesterday, but I was deceived by the evidence and the argument Mr. Jones made about his need not to go to the deposition because he was remaining at home under Court (sic) supervision and I will say that only because Attorney Mattei pointed out that he was -- that Mr. Jones was broadcasting live the day before the hearing and the day of the hearing, did that -- that was the only way it would have ever come to the Court's attention, which is why I asked Attorney Smith for clarification.

So I simply cannot accept argument of counsel without credible, genuine, and reasonable proof and I don't have anything here. So are you looking for an opportunity to file, even ex parte, some medical record that you want the Court to consider?

address the candor issue, Judge? I didn't mean to distract you. I got a re -- report of how the thing went when I was between flights last night and I don't think any lawyer wants to hear a suggestion . that he or his partner were less than candid with the Court and Mr. Smith may have taken your words to heart. They were devastating to our firm and we began to evaluate whether we had conflicts because if

2.6

someone were to question our candor, that is a fundamental obligation, even in the most difficult case to the Court and so I -- I hear what you say and I read the ruling that came out last night and it appeared to be different than the report I got and I'm just going to chalk that out to Mr. Smith's being on the receiving end of -- of -- of -- of an argument he didn't pick and that he was covering, given my unavailability. Having --

THE COURT: I don't want to belabor the point,
but I thought that -- or at least I attempted to make
clear that I was not in any way suggesting that
Attorney Smith intentionally misled the Court because
we all know that attorneys aren't held to the
veracity of all the evidence they submit and they,
you know, know what their client tells them.
However, once an attorney has misled the Court --

ATTY. PATTIS: No, I got it.

THE COURT: -- and it --

ATTY. PATTIS: I --

THE COURT: -- they have an obligation to clarify, which is what I gave him, an obligation to clarify in which he did, but it is troublesome to me because that was the position of your client and now he did not show up for the deposition today.

There is -- There -- As far as I know, there has been no additional in-camera request for any

documents and there's no evidence at this point to suggest that the circumstances had changed. I'd like to believe what your client tells you, but I would be foolish to just accept what is said without evidence, which is not good for the Court to do in any case.

So I -- I will give you time to object to the motion for order on the issue of the capias. I'd also give you time, if you want, to try to submit something -- a medical record -- ex parte, I suppose. But I am going to address today, because there's no reason that we can't address as it currently stands, a Court order that he appear for his deposition tomorrow.

ATTY. PATTIS: Understood.

11.

THE COURT: All right. So --

ATTY. PATTIS: I am requesting the opportunity, obviously, to gather some medical records for you and -- and then I'll -- I'll -- I'll look to Mr. Ferraro for instructions on how to get them to you on an exparte basis.

THE COURT: And so I, again, say, you know, I was able to do it yesterday by questioning Mr. Smith about the documents before I even decided, but why can't -- I don't want a slew -- I will not accept an in-camera review for a slew of documents that are all ex parte when they simply could be redacted and so I don't want to just accept or agree to this in-camera

revie

So I'

as to

give

do no

in th

review of documents that we're not even identifying. So I'm going to leave it to you and Attorney Mattei as to how to address that, but I'd certainly like to give you an opportunity to address what I intend to do now is whether or not he should be Court ordered in this case, not with a capias at this point, but just Court ordered to appear for his deposition tomorrow as his situation currently stands.

ATTY. PATTIS: I believe a subpoena is a Court order and such an additional order would be surplusage and back -- and simply is a prelude to seeking a capias. I think that if he -- You know, he is under a standing order in the form of the subpoena. The plaintiffs have received a commission to proceed on an out-of-state basis. They've announced their intentions to go forward tomorrow. I will simply make those intentions known to my client and he will choose to appear or not. If he chooses twice to disobey an order to appear under this interstate notion -- motion, rather, and from a --

THE COURT: I don't know if you can hear me,
Attorney Pattis, but your screen just froze.

. Attorney Atkinson, do you think that maybe you can contact Attorney Pattis? I don't even know if he knows that his --

ATTY. ATKINSON: I am working on it right now, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

ATTY. ATKINSON: Your Honor, I believe he's going to attempt to re-log in. What I can note to you is usually I get a notification that my texts to him have been delivered and for some reason, I'm not getting that notification now, so I would chalk that up to a poor signal in his area.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll standby. Thank you.

(The Court waited for Attorney Pattis to rejoin the Teams meeting.)

ATTY. PATTIS: I can see everyone. I don't know if you can see me or hear me. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: You actually are back and I can hear you so we are back on the record.

You were speaking --

ATTY. PATTIS: I apologize.

THE COURT: That's all right. You were speaking.

ATTY. PATTIS: I don't remember the last thing I said, Judge. I'm flustered by the phone.

THE COURT: All right. We were -- So I am now addressing the -- We're discussing the Court order for the deposition. I believe you were arguing that it was not necessary. When you're done, I'm going to turn to Attorney Mattei on that and then we'll address the filing of your objection to the motion for order which I have not read since it was just

filed, but which I assume addresses the capias and you did want to respond to that. So anything further?

ATTY. PATTIS: (Indiscernible).

THE COURT: Go ahead.

ATTY. PATTIS: I was simply saying that we, you know, Mr. Jones is -- is obliged to appear as a party under an interstate commission. The Court denied his motion for a protective order. I construe that as the functional equivalent of an order to appear and I don't believe a supplemental order is either necessary or needed.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Attorney Mattei?

ATTY. MATTEI: Attorney Pattis is mistaken. Mr. Jones' appearance today was pursuant to a notice of deposition which is how we compel the appearance of parties. We did not seek a subpoena for him because, as a party, he was required to appear pursuant to the notice. That said, I -- I appreciate Mr. Pattis' concession that he was obligated to part -- appear here pursuant to that notice and in light of the Court's order yesterday.

I think what we are seeking now is -- is the

Court to put Mr. Jones on notice, should it order him

to appear tomorrow, of the consequences of that -- of

a failure to appear tomorrow and I understand that

the C
an op
altho
camer
concl
enter
would

the Court is willing to provide Attorney Pattis with an opportunity to present evidence in some fashion, although we would oppose any sort of ex parte or incamera review this afternoon, but if the Court concludes that it is appropriate for an order to enter directing Mr. Jones' appearance tomorrow, we would ask that it be accompanied by notice that failure to appear will result in the issuance of a capias.

And -- And just to follow-up on Attorney Pattis' comments about how that would work, as you know,

Judge, you have full authority to enter a capias order here. Whether Mr. Jones is then taken into custody as a result of that order might involve enforcement in Texas, but it is within the Court's authority to control the proceedings before it and if Mr. Jones does willfully appear to -- fail to appear tomorrow, as I suspect he will, we would ask that a capias issue.

Mattei, more briefing on the issue if that is something that you are pursuing. I've only issued capiases when a subpoena has been served. So I don't know what the Court's authority is with respect to a notice of deposition. I understand that there are other sanctions that certainly can enter for a party's failure to appear for a deposition and that

2.2.

. 23

is what I started out when I was speaking with Mr.

Pattis, the issue of fundamental fairness requiring
--

ATTY. MATTEI: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- a party who intends to call witnesses at trial, they have to, at a minimum, produce themselves for a deposition, but I would want further briefing.

So we'll discuss -- We'll discuss that shortly, the briefing schedule on the capias issue and the briefing schedule for Mr. Jones on the obj -- objection to your emergency motion, but I do want to make one thing clear, Attorney Pattis, if I may. I -- If there is any in-camera filing, it -- it cannot -- it can only be a report from a doctor or a medical record. I'm not -- I sure am not in any way suggesting that you should be filing any kind of brief or document or anything with any argument at all. It would simply be similar to what was filed yesterday, a letter from a medical provider or -- or something along those lines. I don't want to have a -- another issue created.

But did you want to respond on the issue with respect to the Court order, the lack of subpoena, or anything along those lines before I address the briefing schedule which is going to be extremely expedited?

ATTY. PATTIS: Our -- Our preliminary view of that issue is that the Court lacks authority to enter a capias under such circumstances; that because it's a, you know, notice of a deposition by way of an interstate commission, the authority -- while the Court -- while this Court has authority to enforce its own decisions and whatnot, basically Connecticut is in Texas by way of interstate comity and they'd have to seek that relief from a Texas judge. We believe you lack that authority, but we will brief that for you.

THE COURT: I appreciate that.

All right. So this is all going to get done today and tonight. So in light of that, Attorney Pattis, give me your proposal as to when I can go into this file and review your objection.

ATTY. PATTIS: It's now, what, noon Connecticut time, Judge?

THE COURT: A little after. 20 -- Yeah. 12:30-ish.

ATTY. PATTIS: Well -- So my -- I have two tasks in mind and I have, obviously, help in the office.

So I will attend to -- And I understand your order . and direct -- well, I understand your directive as to anything we submit ex parte. If we submit anything at all, it will be from a physician in a form that -- from a physician and it will be unaccompanied by any

brief or any form of legal argumentation or representation of fact by me. And then we -- I guess we would request until -- You know, if you're going to -- If the other side -- If the plaintiffs need to respond, could we have until 3:30 to file something on the extent of your authority to -- to issue a capias? I'm not sure what Mr. Atkinson is doing today, but you know, clearly that -- my request would be for assistance from him, so may we have until 3:30?

THE COURT: So I -- I will look -- That sounds more than reasonable, so I will look for your filing in response to this motion for order and hopefully addressing the issue of the Court's authority to issue a capias by 3:30 today. I'd --

ATTY. PATTIS: May I have --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

ATTY. PATTIS: Could I have until (audio dropped) on the -- on the medical record? I don't know just how possible it's going to be to contact the doctor, but may I have until 5 p.m. on that?

THE COURT: So by 4 p.m. you asked?

ATTY. PATTIS: I would -- I asked for -- for five, but four -- I'll take whatever you get -- I'll do whatever you tell me. I -- I was looking for five just because it's the close of business. I don't know how possible it's going to be to reach this

person. Obviously, I'm going to have to make every effort to do so.

THE COURT: All right. So 5 p.m. our time. I would suggest -- And not later than that. I would suggest that the same process be filed -- be followed that it's sent to Attorney Ferraro at his judicial branch email which he can then forward to me and we will -- we're still trying to figure out the exact correct process. I think we lodged the document yesterday. We're referring to it as a Court exhibit, although it's not available to the public. We'll follow that same procedure for the email that you're going to send, if any, to Mr. Ferraro by 5 p.m. today.

If, Attorney Pattis, you are not going to be submitting anything, please make sure that you email Mr. Ferraro and tell him that. So by 5 o'clock today, we're either going to have some kind of medical record or an email from you to Mr. Ferraro basically saying, don't worry, we're not submitting anything else. Otherwise, we're going to be constantly -- He'll be refreshing his email and -- and looking for something or be concerned that it got lost, so just let him know either way.

If you are intending on filing any other evidence along the lines of an affidavit from the physician or an affidavit for Mr. Jones, that would

need to be — that is not something I'm going to be able to review in-camera if that's something that you were considering, so that would have to be — that would have to be provided to the other parties.

Whether you did that under a motion — Whether you did that under a motion to seal, I leave that up to you. All right. But I just want to make sure you're not sending me any in-camera affidavits because that would not be proper.

ATTY. PATTIS: Oh, please tell me this did not die again.

THE COURT: No. We -- We hear you, Mr. Pattis.

ATTY. PATTIS: Oh, okay. Okay. Thank you. I

just can't see you. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: All right. So just to confirm, your opposition to the emergency motion for order and your briefing on the capias issue will be filed with the Court by 3:30 and your response to Mr. Ferraro will be due by 5 o'clock either with a medical record or just your email saying we're not going to submit anything. All right.

And -- And I -- Attorney Cerame, I am going to turn to you. Don't.worry. All right?

Attorney Mattei, what -- what would you like to do on your briefing for the capias? Do you want to do the same 3:30? Does that work? You're muted.

ATTY. MATTEI: I'm going to defer to Attorney

1 Sterling on -- on that. THE COURT: So I think I know what Attorney 2 Sterling and Attorney Atkinson are going to be doing 3 this afternoon. 4 5 ATTY. STERLING: That's right, Your Honor. For the record, Attorney Sterling here. 6 THE COURT: And you know what I'll be doing 8 tonight, so there we go. 9 ATTY. STERLING: Yup. 3:30 is fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Cerame? 10 11 ATTY. CERAME: Yes. 12 THE COURT: What would you -- Would you like to 13 address any of these issues and would you like to 14 participate in any of the briefing, sir? 15 ATTY. CERAME: How many more idioms are there 16 for I have no dog in this fight? 17 THE COURT: I just don't want to forget about 18 you. 19 ATTY. CERAME: That's very kind of you, Your 20 Honor. I don't think we'll be submitting anything. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 2.2 ATTY. CERAME: Thank you. 23. THE COURT: All right. ATTY. MATTEI: Your Honor, may I ask before we 2.4 leave, if -- if Attorney Pattis files by five, let's 25 say close to five, the deposition's scheduled for 26 tomorrow, can you give us a sense of when you would 27

anticipate ruling?

THE COURT: I'm going -- I'm going -- I'm going to talk to Mr. Ferraro about how we're going to do I'm going to be reviewing everything at 3:30 and as soon as I -- you know, no later than five, I'll either be reviewing an in-camera document or not and Mr. Ferraro hopefully, I haven't spoken to him about this yet, but hopefully he can process the orders remotely from home tonight and he has everyone's email so he can email everyone the order as well so that you'll -- listen, I don't know how much you'll be filing. If it's 60 pages and I have to do significant research, it's going to be much later tonight, but if it's not that complicated an issue and the briefing isn't that tricky, then you'll get something earlier. If, for example, Attorney Pattis tells Mr. Ferraro at 4 o'clock I'm not going to submit anything or he has already submitted something by 4 o'clock, I may very well by 4:15 be able to enter the orders and -- and Mr. Ferraro will email you and will also get those orders processed so they'll be on the website.

But I will say this: Because there is no other evidence -- proper evidence before me and because I don't need briefing on the issue of whether he should appear for his deposition, I am going to order him to appear for his deposition tomorrow ordered as part of

1415161718

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22.

2324

25

26

the official court file, so that order will be in 1 writing and it's also on the record now. And that --2 Of course, if there is evidence that's submitted that 3 persuades the Court that it would be dangerous to his 4 health for him to attend the deposition, then that 5 order may change, but right now, absent any amendment 6 to the order, he is ordered to produce himself for the deposition tomorrow. 8 All right. Anything further from anyone at this 9 10 point? 11 ATTY. PATTIS: Nothing. ATTY. MATTEI: Nothing. Thank you. 12 13 ATTY. CERAME: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 15 adjourned. (The matter concluded.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 25 26

| UWY-X06-CV18-6046436-S | : SUPERIOR COURT |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ERICA LAFFERTY, ET ALS., | : COMPLEX LITIGATION |
| v. | : AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT |
| ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS. | : MARCH 23, 2022 |
| UWY-X06-CV18-6046437-S | : SUPERIOR COURT |
| WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL., | : COMPLEX LITIGATION |
| v. | : AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT |
| ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS. | : MARCH 23, 2022 |
| UWY-X06-CV18-6046438-S | : SUPERIOR COURT |
| WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL., | : COMPLEX LITIGATION |
| V. | : AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT |
| ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS. | : MARCH 23, 2022 |

$\texttt{C} \; \texttt{E} \; \texttt{R} \; \texttt{T} \; \texttt{I} \; \texttt{F} \; \texttt{I} \; \texttt{C} \; \texttt{A} \; \texttt{T} \; \texttt{I} \; \texttt{O} \; \texttt{N}$

I hereby certify the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, Judicial District of Waterbury at Waterbury, Connecticut, before the Honorable Barbara N. Bellis, Judge, on the 23rd day of March, 2022.

Dated this 24th day of March, 2022 in Waterbury, Connecticut.

Jodelyne Greguoli

Court Recording Monitor